

Summary of Lien Reduction Requests

Constituent	Request	Factors	Determination
NW Third Ave.	Owned two properties that were dangerous buildings. The lien on one was cancelled because of an exception to the derelict provision for certain downtown buildings. Owner assumed both liens had been cancelled.	Different policies concerning the buildings may have been confusing. Owner spent a considerable amount of money improving the property.	Bureau accepted owner's offer to pay amount owed at the time the case was closed and reduced our charges from \$3,660.21 to \$1,472.44
SE 111 th Ave.	Reduction in the lien amount because owner purchased a house on the State's drug lab list and the previous owner did not disclose this violation. A large lien accrued while they worked to resolve this through the legal system.	Owner was granted low-income waiver in error because a drug lab is a life/safety issue. Owner had a vested interest in receiving a one-year extension of the waiver. Owner unable to resolve situation with seller through the legal system.	Removed one year's worth of enforcement fees in the amount of \$1,716.00 and removed all interest that accrued on the balance of the lien in the amount of \$1,643.97 for a total reduction of \$3,359.97.
NE Dekum St.	Reduction in the lien amount because owner made progress to correct all violations until a gas leak occurred and required her to vacate the property until she could afford to fix it.	Made significant progress to correct the violations during the time her low-income waiver was in place, until the gas leak occurred. Property remained vacant until the housing case was closed.	Cancelled lien in the amount of \$8158.49.
NE 16 th Ave.	Reduction in the lien amount because owner was unaware of housing violations until property was awarded to her in divorce proceedings. Corrections were made promptly.	Made significant progress to correct violations at her primary residence once she learned of their existence. One minor violation kept case open longer than expected.	Reduced lien amount from \$4,022.79 to \$586.15, which was the balance on the lien at the time the majority of the violations were corrected.
N Michigan Ave.	Reduction in the lien because owner is disabled and unable to correct housing violations. Cannot live in the house because utilities are shut off for non-payment. Has no income and lives in a truck away from the property.	Review of the case determined that owner could have qualified for a low-income waiver when he applied. Inspectors have been unable to make contact with the owner to discuss the case because he lives away from the property.	Cancelled lien in the amount of \$2,326.15. Granted waiver of enforcement fees for six months. Waiver will be reviewed after six months. Inspector will continue his efforts to contact owner to meet at the property to review the case.
SE Tenino Ct.	Reduction in the lien for the period of time owner was incarcerated because notices and bills for housing violations did not reach him in prison. Applied for a low-income waiver and was denied.	Waiver was denied because fire, life safety issues were not corrected until the case was closed. Significant contact was made with the owner's representatives. Six more violation cases have been opened on the property since case in question.	No basis for a reduction in the lien.
N Vancouver Ave.	Reduction in lien because owner purchased foreclosure property with liens and violations. Encountered work by previous owner without permits. Time to close housing case took longer than expected.	As a new owner, she would qualify for new owner grace period under current program.	Reduced lien amount to reflect new owner grace period from \$2728.38 to \$2,209.10.